WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR**

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

 RECEIV	ED	_	(	COPY
JUL	1	6	200	9

CLERK U S DISTRICT COURY DISTRICT OF ARIZONA BY\_\_\_\_\_\_ DEPUT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
v.  Oscar Humberto Machado-Galaviz		Case Number: <u>09-3321M</u>				
and was repr	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), esented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderate defendant pending trial in this case.	a detention hearing was held on 7/16/09. Defendant was present ance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and order the				
I final have a man		NGS OF FACT				
	eponderance of the evidence that:					
×		States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
×		ne charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
⊠ □		sly been deported or otherwise removed.				
		icant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.					
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in	n court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enfo	rcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.				
The C at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the material find the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the	lings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court ne record.				
	CONCLU	JSIONS OF LAW				
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will No condition or combination of conditions will	Il flee. Ill reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
	DIRECTIONS R	EGARDING DETENTION				
appeal. The door the United S	lacing separate, to the extent practicable, from p defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opporti States or on request of an attorney for the Gove	orney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending unity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court rnment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the appearance in connection with a court proceeding.				
	APPEALS AND 1	THIRD PARTY RELEASE				
Court. Pursua Service of a co	ant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective De opp of this order or after the oral order is stated	ion order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to rial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District cember 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of d on the record within which to file specific written objections with the with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.				
Services Same	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third ciently in advance of the hearing before the Diespotential third party custodian.	party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial strict Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and				
DATE: <u>7</u>	7/16/09	Lawrence O. Anderson United States Magistrate Judge				